

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/966,221	09/28/2001	Thomas S. Laubner	17655	5888
7:	590 12/30/2004		EXAM	INER
Tyco Technology Resources			WIMER, MICHAEL C	
Suite 450 4550 New Linden Hill Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wilmington, DE 19808-2952			2828	<u>-</u>
			DATE MAILED: 12/30/200	<b>4</b> ·

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/966,221	LAUBNER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael C. Wimer	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 03 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicate) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c)	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>6 and 17</u> wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	lld be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment				
The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>6 and 17</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-5,7-15 and 18-36.</u>						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
0.  Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
		Michael Coliner				
	-	Michael C. Wimer Primary Examiner				

Art Unit: 2828

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added language of "without...gain at zenith" is a new consideration and limitation neither addressed nor considered at the time of final Office action rejection. The objective appears to be taught in Murphy, but it is uncertain. Further it is uncertain if the stated objective is attributed by the lens or the raising via the ground plane. There appears to be no reason that the gain would be the same, particularly when only a portion of the patch is covered with a lens material.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as noted above, it appears to be an objective in Murphy not to decrease radiation efficiency. Thus, lobing as in Fig. 5 therein, does not appear to be an objective in Murphy. This is why it appears that he employs the arrangement in his Fig. 6. Thus, it is unclear if applicant's objective is solely maintained by the lens or the second ground plane.